

SEP. 15. 2005 11:26AM

HARRINGTON & SMITH

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 095

P. 1

SEP 15 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/087,465      Confirmation No.: 9071  
Applicant(s): Tokkonen et al.  
Filed: 03/01/2002  
Art Unit: 2612  
Examiner: Moe, Aung Soe  
Title: Prioritization of Files In A Memory  
Attorney Docket No.: 872.0114.U1 (US)  
Customer No.: 29,683

Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response To Office Action

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed 07/28/2005 in regard to the above-identified patent application.

Claim 35 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Hayduk (US 2003/0054833 A1). Claim 35 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Nakai et al. (US 2002/0033779 A1). Claims 1, 6, 8-7, 20, 22-24, 25 and 29-30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Misawa et al. (US 2002/0118285 A1) in view of Chew (US 2005/0138448 A1). Claims 11-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Misawa et al. (US 2002/0118285 A1) in view of Chew (US 2005/0138448 A1) and Reeley et al. (US 5,893,037). Claim 16 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Misawa et al. (US 2002/0118285 A1) in view of Chew (US 2005/0138448 A1) and Kubo et al. (US 2001/0006400 A1). Claims 1, 2-3, 6, 8, 11, 15, 17,